

# Government Science College, Vankal

## Feedback form for Teachers

Feedback form for Teachers

The respondent's email (**djbhayani5918@gmail.com**) was recorded on submission of this form.

## Teacher Details \*

Name

- ☐ Dr. Meghna R. Adhvaryu
- ☒ Dr. Dilip J. Bhayani
- ☐ Dr. Mital A. Chaudhari
- ☐ Dr. Vishal Rao
- ☐ Dr. Mayuresh Mishra
- ☐ Dr. Parthivkumar Kantilal Chaudhari
- ☐ Dr. Dharmesh H. Mahajan
- ☐ Dr. Kaushik N. Kundaliya
- ☐ Prof. Kumar G. Gamit
- ☐ Dr. Mayur I. Morja
- ☐ Prof. Hardik D. Tadvi
- ☐ Prof. Raksha B. Patel
- ☐ Prof. Vanaraj D. Kagada
- ☐ Dr. Chaitanya Kumar Jha
- ☐ Dr. Anil Kumar Singh
- ☐ Dr. Nishant S. Junnarkar
- ☐ Prof. Viraj A. Chaudhari
- ☐ Dr. Hemal P. Vankar
- ☐ Dr. Kunjal S. Patel
- ☐ Dr. Hashmukhray V. Joshi
- ☐ Mr. Rajesh M. Gohel
- ☐ Dr. Rajeshkumar C. Senma

☐ Dr. Pushpabahen S. Shah

Designation \*

☒ Assistant Professor

☐ Associate Professor

Email ID \*

djbhayani5918@gmail.com

Subject \*

☒ Botany

☐ Chemistry

☐ Mathematics

☐ Microbiology

☐ Physics

☐ Zoology

Rate the feedback on the following attributes using a 5-point scale indicated below.

5: Excellent

4: Very Good

3: Good

2: Fair

1: Satisfactory

Design of the Program syllabus and its relevance to the current needs in the market. \*

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Satisfactory

Balance between fundamental, applied and advance courses in the Program syllabus. \*

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Satisfactory

Depth and breadth of the course contents and allotted credits/instructional hours. \*

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Satisfactory

Relevance of electives offered to the specialization and technology advancement. \*

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Satisfactory

Adequacy of components like internship, project, training and interdisciplinary studies in the curriculum. \*

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Satisfactory

Emphasis in the curriculum on skill development and value education along with domain knowledge. \*

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Satisfactory

Relevance of books and learning material as per quality standards. \*

- ☒ Excellent
- ☐ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Satisfactory

Support available in the curriculum for employability, higher education, and entrepreneurship skills as per student's aspiration. \*

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Satisfactory

Effectiveness of assessment and evaluation scheme adopted in the curriculum. \*

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Satisfactory

Overall balance between different components in curriculum framework related to domain knowledge, skill development and value education. \*

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Satisfactory

This form was created inside of The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda.

Google Forms

# Government Science College, Vankal

## Feedback form for Teachers

Feedback form for Teachers

The respondent's email (**virajchaudhari2@gmail.com**) was recorded on submission of this form.



## Teacher Details \*

Name

- ☐ Dr. Meghna R. Adhvaryu
- ☐ Dr. Dilip J. Bhayani
- ☐ Dr. Mital A. Chaudhari
- ☐ Dr. Vishal Rao
- ☐ Dr. Mayuresh Mishra
- ☐ Dr. Parthivkumar Kantilal Chaudhari
- ☐ Dr. Dharmesh H. Mahajan
- ☐ Dr. Kaushik N. Kundaliya
- ☐ Prof. Kumar G. Gamit
- ☐ Dr. Mayur I. Morja
- ☐ Prof. Hardik D. Tadvi
- ☐ Prof. Raksha B. Patel
- ☐ Prof. Vanaraj D. Kagada
- ☐ Dr. Chaitanya Kumar Jha
- ☐ Dr. Anil Kumar Singh
- ☐ Dr. Nishant S. Junnarkar
- ☐ Prof. Viraj A. Chaudhari
- ☐ Dr. Hemal P. Vankar
- ☐ Dr. Kunjal S. Patel
- ☐ Dr. Hashmukhray V. Joshi
- ☐ Mr. Rajesh M. Gohel
- ☐ Dr. Rajeshkumar C. Senma

☐ Dr. Pushpabahen S. Shah

Designation \*

☒ Assistant Professor

☐ Associate Professor

Email ID \*

virajchaudhari2@gmail.com

Subject \*

☐ Botany

☐ Chemistry

☐ Mathematics

☒ Microbiology

☐ Physics

☐ Zoology

Rate the feedback on the following attributes using a 5-point scale indicated below.

5: Excellent

4: Very Good

3: Good

2: Fair

1: Satisfactory

Design of the Program syllabus and its relevance to the current needs in the market. \*

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Satisfactory

Balance between fundamental, applied and advance courses in the Program syllabus. \*

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Satisfactory

Depth and breadth of the course contents and allotted credits/instructional hours. \*

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Satisfactory

Relevance of electives offered to the specialization and technology advancement. \*

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Satisfactory

Adequacy of components like internship, project, training and interdisciplinary studies in the curriculum. \*

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Satisfactory

Emphasis in the curriculum on skill development and value education along with domain knowledge. \*

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Satisfactory

Relevance of books and learning material as per quality standards. \*

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Satisfactory

Support available in the curriculum for employability, higher education, and entrepreneurship skills as per student's aspiration. \*

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Satisfactory

Effectiveness of assessment and evaluation scheme adopted in the curriculum. \*

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Satisfactory

Overall balance between different components in curriculum framework related to domain knowledge, skill development and value education. \*

- ☐ Excellent
- ☒ Very Good
- ☐ Good
- ☐ Fair
- ☐ Satisfactory

This form was created inside of The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda.

Google Forms